## Message

From: Strynar, Mark [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=5A9910D5B38E471497BD875FD329A20A-STRYNAR, MARK]

**Sent**: 2/25/2016 2:56:28 PM

To: Hillary Stoll [hjstoll@ncsu.edu]; Detlef Knappe [knappe@ncsu.edu]

CC: Lindstrom, Andrew [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=04bf7cf26aa44ce29763fbc1c1b2338e-Lindstrom, Andrew]

Subject: RE: WAX versus HLB

Hillary,

I would also like to do a side by side comparison of the Phenomenex Strata X SPE cartridges compared to the WAX SPE. I have 25-30 of the Strata X to test. I am told they are significantly less expensive. If they perform the same we may want to switch.

Mark

**From:** Hillary Stoll [mailto:hjstoll@ncsu.edu] **Sent:** Wednesday, February 24, 2016 8:53 PM **To:** Detlef Knappe <knappe@ncsu.edu>

Cc: Strynar, Mark <Strynar.Mark@epa.gov>; Lindstrom, Andrew <Lindstrom.Andrew@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: WAX versus HLB

Hello Dr. Knappe,

That is my next step, along with Mark's suggestions. Hopefully I can draw more conclusions regarding the response factors from this improved data.

Best, Hillary

On Wednesday, February 24, 2016, Detlef Knappe <a href="knappe@ncsu.edu">knappe@ncsu.edu</a> wrote:

Thank you for the update, Mark. This sounds promising! Hillary, can you take a look at response factors for these results? Thank you, Detlef

On 2/24/16 2:20 PM, Strynar, Mark wrote:

FYI,

I looked at the work we did yesterday. The WAX worked very well for all, and the HLB did poorly for m/z 229 and 279 which are PFECA F and A respectively. HLB worked similarly for all others compared to the WAX. As expected the HLB does poorly for the low molecular weight PFCAs and the PFECAs. The A and F PFECA are the two smallest. I propose using WAX capture of the compounds in 500 mL of water and a UPLC MS/MS analysis on the Acquity system.

There was some contamination of the PFECA G compound in the MB but not other compounds. I think we can work with this small amount as it was lower than the lowest curve point (10 ng/L).

We will now need to do more like 6-7 point cal curves and try to add some ISs we have (PFBA, PFHxA and PFOA) to serve as IS in the absence of matched IS compounds.

Mark

Detlef Knappe
Professor
319-E Mann Hall
Department of Civil, Construction, and Environmental Engineering
North Carolina State University
Campus Box 7908
Raleigh, NC 27695-7908

Phone: 919-515-8791
Fax: 919-515-7908

E-mail: <a href="mailto:knappe@ncsu.edu">knappe@ncsu.edu</a>
Web page: <a href="http://knappelab.wordpress.ncsu.edu/">http://knappelab.wordpress.ncsu.edu/</a>

Hillary Stoll Graduate Research Assistant

319-A Mann Hall North Carolina State University

Department of Civil, Construction, and Environmental Engineering

Raleigh, NC 27695-7908

(402) 304-4037 | <u>hjstoll@ncsu.edu</u>